On the ¹H NMR Spectra of Biliverdins with Free Propionic Acid Substituents

Miguel Feliz, Josep M. Ribó*, Antonio Salgado, Francesc R. Trull, and María Asunción Vallès

Departament de Química Orgànica, Facultat de Química, Universitat de Barcelona, E-08028 Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain

Summary. The ¹H NMR spectra of Biliverdin IX α and Mesobiliverdin XIII α were studied in order to explain their low resolution in CDCl₃/CD₃OD solvent mixtures. The small T_2 and T_1 values of these ¹H NMR spectra are probably due to aggregate formation promoted by the presence of the free propionic acid substituents.

Keywords. Aggregates; Bile pigments; Carboxylic acid association; Micelles.

¹H-NMR-Spektren von mit freien Propionsäureresten substituierten Biliverdinen

Zusammenfassung. Es wurden die ¹H-NMR-Spektren von Biliverdin IX α und Mesobiliverdin XIII α untersucht. In CDCl₃/CD₃OD-Mischungen erhält man eine schlechte Auflösung. Die niedrigen T_1 und T_2 -Werte lassen sich durch eine Aggregatbildung erklären, die durch die freien Propionsäuregruppen verursacht wird.

Introduction

As it has been pointed out by a reviewer, the NMR spectra of biliverdins with free propionic acid substituents are "conspicuously unavailable" [1]. To our knowledge, only the ¹H NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of biliverdin IX α in *DMSO-d*₆ has been reported [1a]. Biliverdins with free propionic acid substituents are slightly soluble in chloroform, but soluble in CDCl₃/CD₃OD or CD₂Cl₂/CD₃OD mixtures at such concentrations that ¹H NMR spectra in high field instruments should be easy to obtain. As we show here, the recorded spectra (¹H NMR: 200 MHz) in the above mentioned solvent mixtures have a very poor resolution and are not easily interpreted; on the other hand, running the NMR spectra of biliverdins with free carboxylic acid groups in *DMSO-d*₆ does not offer any remarkable problem: some broadening is observed due to the well known characteristics of *DMSO* as NMR-solvent.

Results and Discussion

Biliverdin IX α (1 a) and mesobiliverdin XIII α (2 a) in CDCl₃/CD₃OD or CD₂Cl₂/CD₃OD solvent mixtures show ¹H NMR spectra with broad signals, some

of them being so broad, that at first sight the obtained spectra could be attributed to very impure samples or to other types of compounds. However, the same samples after solvent evaporation afford good quality spectra in $DMSO-d_6$. Such a low resolution cannot be attributed to the presence of insoluble particles because the sample solutions were filtered through a Millipore (FA) 1 μ m pore size filter.



Although dilution improves resolution, it does not improve it to normal quality standards. However, good resolution spectra can be obtained on heating: for $8 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ moll}^{-1}$ **1a** and **2a** in CDCl₃/CD₃OD 4:1, a temperature above 50°C is enough to get spectra with normal resolution standards (see Fig. 1).

On the other hand, the chemical shift of some signals is concentration dependent. Fig. 2 shows the signals having a higher variation of chemical shift with concentration, in $CDCl_3/CD_3OD$ 4:1 solutions. The most affected are H–C10 and CH_3 –C(18 or 2/18).

Very characteristic in these spectra is the broadness of the H–C10 singlet signal, which is much higher than the signal of H–C5/H–C15 (see Fig. 1). For example, the H–C10 signal of mesobiliverdin XIII α (**2** a) at very high dilution (2 · 10⁻³ mol1⁻¹) shows a $v_{1/2}$ of 5–6 Hz. Nevertheless, this is a small value compared e.g. to the ca. 40 Hz at a concentration of $38 \cdot 10^{-3}$ mol1⁻¹. Not only the T_2 values are concentration dependent: as it is shown in Table 1 for **2** a, T_1 also changes strongly with concentration: the T_1 values at relatively high concentration of **2** a are very different from the corresponding values of its dimethyl ester (**2** b) under the same experimental conditions; but **2** b shows T_1 values very similar to those reported in the literature [2] for other biliverdins lacking the free propionic acid groups (e.g. **1** b).

In the evaluation of the recorded spectra, sample impurities give additional problems: their relaxation is so different from that of the biliverdin free acid that the relative heights of their signals may induce an error.

The presence of a small proportion of the less stable (Z,Z,E)-isomer cannot be excluded: in the case of **2** a, which affords a spectrum simpler than that of **1** a, the chemical shift of the small sharp singlet that appears at 6.1 ppm [H-C15, (Z,Z,E)-isomer? [3]], and the corresponding splitting observed for some of the remaining signals could agree with this interpretation. In fact, the signals that show such a splitting are those having a significant chemical shift difference between the (Z,Z,Z)-and (Z,Z,E)-isomers according to the literature. The variable temperature experi-



Fig. 1. Partial ¹H NMR spectra (200 MHz) of 1 a and 2 a in $CDCl_3$: $CD_3OD(4:1)$. 8 mmol l^{-1} solutions at 20°C and 54°C

Table 1. T_1 values (inversion-recovery method) in CDCl ₃ : CD ₃ OD (4 : 1) for mesobiliverdin XIII α (2 a)	
and its dimethyl ester (2b) at normal work concentrations and for 2a at high dilution	

	Spin-lattice relaxation times (T_1, s)		
	2 b ^a 50 mmol 1 ⁻¹	2 a 59 mmol 1 ⁻¹	2 a 2 mmol 1 ⁻¹
H-C(10) =	0.50 ± 0.01	≅ 0.13	0.41 ± 0.03
H-C(5,15) =	0.72 ± 0.02	0.13 + 0.01	0.68 ± 0.03
CH ₃ OOC—	1.21 ± 0.05	-	
$-OOC - CH_2 - CH_2 - C(8, 12)$	0.28 ± 0.05	$\simeq 0.10$	0.21 ± 0.01
$-OOC - C\bar{H}_2 - CH_2 - C(8,12)$	0.42 ± 0.02	~ 0.11	0.27 ± 0.01
$CH_3 - CH_2 - C(3, 17)$	0.29 ± 0.03	$\cong 0.11$	0.25 ± 0.01
CH ₃ —C(7,13)	0.48 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.03
CH ₃ C(2,18)	0.53 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.04
$C\bar{H}_{3}$ — CH_{2} — $C(3,17)$	0.72 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.04

^a Similar values are described in the literature for 1b in CDCl₃



ments and the changes observed in the spectra with dilution show a very different behaviour for the signals of the (Z,Z,Z)-isomer with a strong change of their relative heights with respect to those of the hypothetical (Z,Z,E)-isomer (which does not show significative changes on T_2).

All these results point to some type of aggregation: In fact, NOESY pulse sequences applied on 2a ($60 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$ in CDCl₃/CD₃OD 4:1) do not give any information about the well known NOEs in biliverdins [3]. In contrast, a ROESY (Rotating Frame Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy) pulse sequence, which is applied to study NOE and exchange processes on molecules in which the extreme narrowing condition does no hold (i.e. relatively large molecules, which correlation time τ_c is such that $1/\tau_c \cong \omega_0$), allows to see the cross peaks expected for the helical form of (Z,Z,Z)-biliverdins [3], except for those corresponding to the H–C10 signal, which owing to its broadness does not afford reliable cross peaks. Due to the molecular weight, the fact of not fitting the extreme narrowing condition in a 200 MHz spectrometer suggests the presence of at least a dimeric structure: e.g. a bilatriene



Fig. 2. Chemical shift dependence on concentration of some of the signals of the ¹H NMR spectra of 1 a and 2 a in $CDCl_3:CD_3OD$ (4:1) at room temperature; the signals not shown in the figure have a smaller concentration dependence

with similar molecular weight has been studied both by NOESY and ROESY with a 360 MHz instrument [4].

With such aggregates being specific for the free acids, the carboxylic acid group must play an important role in their formation. It has already been demonstrated by vapour pressure osmometry that in CHCl₃ biliverdins with a free propionic acid substituent at C8 tend to be dimeric [5]. A second, much weaker interaction that could play an additional role in the formation of any type of aggregate is

a donor-acceptor intermolecular interaction between the π -systems of the bilatrienes. In this context it must be mentioned that in biliverdins, HOMO and LUMO are located in different halves of the molecule, their concrete location being determined by the N22–N23 tautomerism [6]. Although such types of interactions should be observed in the electronic spectra, at the concentrations used it is not easy to obtain reliable UV/Vis spectra of biliverdins. However, as it is well known by the experimental chemist but scarcely reported in the literature (see [1] as an exception), small solvatochromic and thermochromic effects are often visually observed in biliverdins.

When running the ¹³C NMR spectra of 1a in these solvent mixtures, some difficulties were encountered due to its low solubility. The signals obtained for 1a and 2a appear at chemical shifts very close to those described for the dimethyl esters of some biliverdins [7, 8]. As in the case of the ¹H NMR spectra, the signals are broad, especially some of the signals of the quaternary sp² carbon atoms of the bilatriene π system. Except from the lower solubility in CH₃OH, there is no difference between the spectra of 1a in CDCl₃/CD₃OD or in CDCl₃/CH₃OH: excluding any interpretation based on an isotope effect upon the tautomer exchange. Also—as in the case of ¹H NMR—we have obtained a "normal" ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2a by running the same sample in *DMSO-d*₆.

It is remarkable that with H–C10 being the most prominent signal (T_2) in the ¹H NMR spectra, the corresponding C10 signal in the ¹³C NMR spectra was not the most affected. This characteristic of the NMR spectra may also be explained by the presence of aggregates. The propionic acid chains are "locked" because of the formation of the aggregate and must have a low mobility. A long τ_c and the dipole-dipole relaxation between H–C10 and the "fixed" methylene group at C8 and C12 would explain the small T_2 values of these signals. In this sense, it must be pointed out that for both 1 a and 2 a the signals corresponding to this methylene group are broader than those of the other methylenes in the molecule. Despite of the N22–N23 tautomerization process and the "quasi-planar" interconversion of the helical enantiomeric conformers, if the torsion around the C9–C10 single bond (the second favoured path in the interconversion between helices [9]) is locked by the "fixed" propionic acid chains, the H–C10 motion will be very small.

Acknowledgement

This work is part of the CAICYT research program 459-84.

Experimental

Biliverdin IX α (1 a), mesobiliverdin XIII α (2 a) and mesobiliverdin XIII α dimethyl ester (2 b) are described in the literature [10–12].

The NMR spectra were recorded in a Varian XL-200 (Advance 6800 Y based data system) instrument (200 MHz, ¹H NMR and 50.3 MHz, ¹³C NMR) using *TMS* as internal reference. For NOESY and ROESY experiments the samples were degassed using an argon stream.

¹H NMR spin-lattice relaxation times (T_1 : see Table 1) were measured by the $(180^\circ - \tau - 90^\circ - t)x$ inversion-recovery sequence with an average of 64 free induction decays: delay between sequences was 12 s; values of τ between 0.023 and 12 s were "arrayed" using the XL-200 T_1 program.

¹H NMR NOESY experiments [13, 14] on **2a** were performed at the following conditions: the mixing times were 90 and 150 ms, 64 transients were accumulated for 256 values of evolution period; a spectral width of 2936 Hz in both dimensions, and a delay of 1.2s was employed. A $2K \times 1K$ data matrix was used.

¹H NMR Spectra of Biliverdins

¹H NMR ROESY experiments [15] on **2a** were performed at the following conditions: 2D spectral width was 2936 Hz; mixing times of 90 and 150 ms were used; the 90° pulse was 7.6 μ s, the spin-locking pulse was 2.5 μ s; 2 × 256 increments of evolution time, and a 2K × 1K data matrix was used. The interactions found were analogous to those described in the literature [3] for non free acid biliverdins (i.e. their methyl esters). No reliable cross peaks were obtained on H–C10, probably due to the flatness of this signal.

References

- McDonagh A. F. (1979) In: Dolphin D. (ed.) The Porphyrins, Vol. 6, part A. Academic Press, New York, pp. 293, 390, 409
- [2] Falk H., Haslinger E., Schlederer T. (1979) Monatsh. Chem. 110: 1287
- [3] Falk H., Grubmayr K., Haslinger E., Schlederer T., Thirring K. (1978) Monatsh. Chem. 109: 1451
- [4] Falk H., Medinger W., Müller N. (1988) Monatsh. Chem. 119: 113
- [5] Falk H., Schlederer T., Wolschann P. (1981) Monatsh. Chem. 112: 199
- [6] Caballol R., Ribó J. M., Trull F. R., Vallès A. (1987) Monatsh. Chem. 118: 1011
- [7] Petrier C., Dupuy C. (1983) Org. Magn. Res. 21: 221
- [8] Wray V., Gossauer A., Grüning B., Reifenstahl G., Zilch H. (1979) J. C. S. Perkin II: 1558
- [9] Falk H., Müller N. (1981) Monatsh. Chem. 112: 791
- [10] McDonagh A. F., Palma L. A. (1980) Biochem. J. 189: 193
- [11] Reisinger M., Trull F. R., Lightner D. A. (1985) J. Heterocycl. Chem. 22: 1221
- [12] O'Carra P., Colleran E. (1975) J. Chromatog. 108: 212
- [13] Jeener J., Meier B. H., Bachmann P., Ernst R. R. (1979) J. Chem. Phys. 71: 4546
- [14] Kumar A., Wagner G., Ernst R. R., Wütrich K. (1980) Biochem. Biophys. Comm. 96: 1156
- [15] Kessler H., Griesinger H., Kerssenbaum C., Wagner R., Ernst R. R. (1987) J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 109: 607

Received June 7, 1988. Accepted June 30, 1988